	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/084,181	KUWAYAMA, AKIKO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anthony J. Daniels	2622
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGOT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included not will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to the pre-appeal brief filed 6/26/2006.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-28</u> .		
3. ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the		
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.		
(a) 🔲 including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached		
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.8 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the	B4(c)) should be written on the drawi e header according to 37 CFR 1.121(ngs in the front (not the back) of d).
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.		
Attachment(s)	5 Nation of Informal C	Data at A a disastina (DTO 450)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Dotice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Patent Application (PTO-152)
2. Molice of Dranperson's Faterit Drawing Neview (F10-946)	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da	te
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No./Mail Date 	Paper No./Mail Da B), 7. ☐ Examiner's Amendr	ment/Comment
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. 🛛 Examiner's Stateme	ent of Reasons for Allowance
of Biological Material	9.	
		Anthony Daniels Camera Division 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 1-28 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As to claim 1, the prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest a digital camera for using fingerprint data to all or disallow access to the camera including a specific identifier is allotted with input fingerprint data, a frame of data is associated with the specific identifier, the authorizer storing the identifier specific to the fingerprint data, and a controller referencing the identifier stored in said authorizer in combination with the rest of claim 1.

As to claim 9, the prior art of record does teach the steps of claim 9. However, the prior art does not teach them in the order they are written. Although the specification discussed only a single embodiment, the court held that it was improper to read a specific order of steps into method claims where, as a matter of logic or grammar, the language of the method claims did not impose a specific order on the performance of the method steps, and the specification did not directly or implicitly require a particular order). See also paragraph III., below. There is one exception, and that is when an element is claimed using language falling under the scope of 35 U.S.C. 112, 6th paragraph (often broadly referred to as means or step plus function language). In that case, the specification must be consulted to determine the structure, material, or acts corresponding to the function recited in the claim. In re Donaldson, 16 F.3d 1189, 29 USPQ2d 1845 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (see MPEP § 2181-§ 2186). In light of this case law, the fact that the specification does impose a specific order on the steps and applicant's arguments, claim 9 has been allowed.

As to claim 13, the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method of allowing access to a digital camera, comprising, receiving fingerprint data of a user of the digital camera; determining if the digital camera <u>itself</u> is being used for a first time ever; and registering the fingerprint data of the user when it is determined that the digital camera <u>itself</u> is being used for the first time ever. In light of applicant's arguments regarding the interpretation of the digital camera being used for the first time ever, claim 13 has been allowed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Takahashi teaches a method of allowing access to a digital comprising the steps of:

determining if a digital camera is being used for the first time, receiving fingerprint data from a

manager of the camera, registering the fingerprint data in a database of the camera when it is

determined that the camera is being used for the first time. The steps are performed in this order.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony J. Daniels whose telephone number is (571) 272-7362. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M. - 5:30 P.M..

Application/Control Number: 10/084,181 Page 4

Art Unit: 2622

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ngoc-Yen Vu can be reached on (571) 272-7320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AD 8/3/2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER